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ABSTRACT
The most serious problem occurred from the manufacture of TNT is the wastewater emanating from the plants engaged in washing of the product. The dissolved TNT causes the well-known “pink water” discharge, which is esthetically as well as environmentally undesirable.

In this work, extensive investigations on treatment of this have been conducted using cationic surfactant under various conditions. It was found that TNT react in aqueous solution with surfactant containing tertiary ammonium groups at pH 10-11 at ambient temperature. The reaction products were insoluble complex. It could be easily separated by filtration.

The dried precipitates were examined toward explosion and it burned without explosion.

The results also showed that TNT with initial concentration of till 100ppm could be quantitatively removed from waste pink water. The used surfactant was 0.5 moles per mole of TNT in the water and the reaction time was about three hours.

According to preceding results the pink water after treatment by the recommended method in this work is suitable for reused in manufacture.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Disposal of TNT and its degradation products from manufacturing plants and disposed sits present a serious and potentially hazardous environmental problem. A single manufacturing plant can generate as much as 500,000 gallons of wastewater per day contain TNT as well as other nitro compounds. All these wastewater are disposed of by discharging them into rivers or streams [1].

All TNT plants removed the undesired isomers and residual dinitrated toluene by treatment with aqueous sodium sulfite solution (sellite process). The spent sellite solution containing the extracted sulfonate derivative is known as red water and constitutes the major waste stream. This stream is one of three liquid wastes produced from TNT manufacture, neutralized spent acids with water and pink water. The pink water is almost acidic (pH=3). It contain about 150 ppM of TNT. When this water exposed to the sunlight, it turns pink owing to photolysis of the dissolved TNT to form colored products [2]. The disposed of such water in environmental causes a serious difficulties. Since TNT is classified in weight of evidence group C, possible human carcinogen [3].

TNT poisoning lead to some symptoms, a plastic anemia, progressive loss of the blood element, server liver damage, cyanosis and bad symptoms on nervous system [4].

For the treatment of wastewater contaminated by TNT, neutralization and precipitation were found fruitless. Application of fungi to battement of pollution from TNT wastewater appeared unpromising because it failed to degrade TNT and because of amino transformation products were toxic.

Fourteen methods including distillation, reverse osmosis, carbon adsorption. Also regeneration to make water recycling. Destruction methods included aqueous phase catalytic oxidation and composting. Carbon adsorption was able to reduce TNT to part per billion levels because of their finite lifetime, break through of carbon column occurred occasionally [5,6,7].

Foam separation has been used to remove traces of dissolved organic compound such as phenols, enzymes, naphthalene derivatives, from aqueous solution [8]. Removal of TNT from aqueous solutions by foam separation technique was investigated [9].

It was found that under certain conditions, the surfactant formed an insoluble complex with TNT in aqueous solution. It could be separated by filtration. In this work we investigate the application of ammonium cationic surfactant for the removal of TNT from wastewater by precipitation of it from aqueous solution.

2- EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental work was carried out, first, by the application of the used surfactants on prepared aqueous solutions of pure TNT. For a practical application of the process the used surfactants were employed for the treatment of samples of wastewater produced from TNT manufacturing plant.
2.1 Materials
The used TNT was supplied from AZC production plant and reunified by recrystallization from ethanol. We used two types of cationic surfactant, dodecyl triethyl ammonium bromide and hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide.

2.2 Procedure
Purified TNT (3g) was dissolved in (20) liters of water to make solutions of 150 PPM. The solution was stirred overnight at the desired temperature and a sample was taken for analysis of TNT. The solution was transferred to the reaction vessel, and an aqueous surfactant solution was added to give the desired molar ratio of surfactant to TNT. The used molar ratio changed from 0.8 to 3.6. After the surfactant was mixed, sodium hydroxide solution was added until the desired PH was reached.

The solution was stirred continuously during the entire reaction time. The solution turned from pink to a dark color immediately. Sample were taken from the reaction mixture periodically and filtered. The precipitated black solid washed with water and dried in a vacuum. TNT contents of the filtrate were determined.

For a practical application of the process, the preceding procedure was used in another runs where the aqueous solution of TNT was replaced by actual wastewater from our production plant. In these runs TNT contents in the filtrate were determined and total organic carbon (TOC) is also determined in the filtrate.

Melting point of the black precipitate was determined; it was also treated with acids and bases to check its revert to TNT again. The explosive reactivity of the black solid was determined using impact and friction tests.

2.3 Analysis
TNT contents were determined using HPLC chromatography. The used apparatus was HP 1080 B liquid chromatograph, Hewlett Packard and UV detector in the wavelength range of 0- 432 nm. Manual injection by syringe. The used column type was ODS2 250X4.6 mm ss spherisorb 5 µm. The used Mobil phase mixture is methanol – water (50:50), one ml/min flow rate, temperature of methanol – water were 40 C, 80 C respectively, attenuation and slope sense were 7 and 1 respectively. Maximum column pressure was 400 bars. UV lamp was at wavelength of 254 nm [10].

The slope size was 20 µl. The standards at known concentration of TNT were prepared using pure compounds and calibration curve was constructed. Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined using A Beckman model No.915 A carbon analyzer.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The typical results summarized in table and figure 1 showed the effect of using dodecyl triethyl ammonium bromide upon the removal of TNT from its aqueous
solution. The initial concentration of TNT was 140 ppm and the used Ph was 10. The results showed that TNT could be reduced to about 1 ppm in 5 hours when the molar ratio of the surfactant to TNT was 3.6.

Table 2 and figure 2 showed the results of using hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide to remove TNT from its aqueous solution. The results showed that at the same conditions of TNT initial concentration molar ratio of surfactant to TNT and pH value the reaction time was shortened to only 4 hours to reach TNT concentration of less than 1 ppm (0.9 ppm).

Table 3 and figure 3 showed the effect of the pH upon the removal of TNT from its aqueous solution using hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide, which was most effective in the treatment. The used molar ratio of surfactant to TNT was 0.8 and pH value was varied between 10 and 11.

The results showed that the higher pH (11) gives better effect on the reaction efficiency.

The results in table 4 show that the cationic ammonium surfactant which was most effective to remove TNT by precipitation from aqueous solution, gives the same effect when it used in the treatment of actual wastewater.

The initial value of TNT concentration in the waste water was 100 – 110 ppm. The molar ratio between hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide and TNT varied between 0.5 and 0.9 and the used pH was about 11.

It is clear from the table that, under these described conditions above the TNT was quantitively removed from the wastewater in about 30 minutes.

The results also showed that the melting point of the black solid produced from the treatment reaction was found to be about 160 C and it had no any explosive characteristics. From the preceding results, we can reach safely to the conclusion that, the ammonium cationic surfactant was most effective to remove TNT by precipitation from waste water (pink water) The postulated mechanisms for the treatment can be explained as follows: surfactant molecules dispersed in a liquid in an aggregate called micelle, this process of forming micelles is known as micellization. In water the hydrophilic (heads) of surfactant molecules are always in contact with water. However, the hydrophobic (tails) of surfactant molecules have less contact with water. Micelles only from when concentration of surfactant is greater than critical micelle concentration (CMC), and temperature of the system are greater than critical micelle temperature [11,12,13].

Concerning TNT, it has limited solubility in water (~ 150 ppm) at room temperature. It reacts with strong bases, forming colored solution. The colored solution has been interpreted by the formation of the intermediate 2,4,6 trinitrobenzyl anion (TNT⁻) [9] as shown from the following equation:

\[
\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3\text{O}_2 + \text{Base} \rightarrow \text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3\text{O}_2^− + \text{B}^+\]
It was found by Bernasconi [14] that the reaction of TNT in basic solution under condition of excess TNT form what we called Jonovsky complex as follows:

\[
\text{Jonovsky Complex}
\]

When the ammonium cationic surfactant was used, the TNT in the aqueous solution preferentially soluble in the micellar phase greatly increased and the reaction rate of the TNT with the OH- at the micelle aqueous interface is enhanced. We believe that the reaction of TNT with the surfactant – NAOH system can be involved as follows:

\[
\text{Surfactant (R}_4\text{N}^+\text{Br}^-) + \text{NaoH} \rightarrow \text{R}_4\text{N}^+\text{OH}^- + \text{NaBr}
\]

\[
\text{TNT} + \text{R}_4\text{N}^+\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{TNT}^- + \text{R}_4\text{N}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
\text{TNT}^- + \text{TNT} \rightarrow (\text{TNT-TNT})^- \quad \text{(Janovský Complex)}
\]

\[
(\text{TNT-TNT})^- + \text{R}_4\text{N}^+ \rightarrow (\text{TNT-TNT})^- \text{NR}_4^+
\]

(Black precipitate)

Thus when the pink water was treated with cationic surfactant- NaOH, the TNT was precipitated as the complex (TNT-TNT)^- (surfactant)^+.

4. CONCLUSION

From the preceding results, we can reach safely to the conclusion that, the ammonium cationic surfactant was most effective to remove TNT by precipitation from waste water (pink water).
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Table 1. Removal of TNT From aqueous solution by dodecyl triethyl ammonium bromide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molar ratio of surfactant/TNT</th>
<th>Concentration of TNT (ppm)</th>
<th>1h</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>3h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>5h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- initial concentration of TNT = 140 ppm
- pH= 10

Table 2. Removal of TNT From aqueous solution by Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molar ratio of surfactant/TNT</th>
<th>Concentration of TNT (ppm)</th>
<th>1h</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>3h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>5h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- initial concentration of TNT = 140 ppm
- pH= 10

Table 3. Effect of Ph on the Removal of TNT by Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ph</th>
<th>Concentration of TNT (ppm)</th>
<th>3h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>5h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- initial concentration of TNT = 140 ppm
- molar ratio of surfactant/TNT = 1.5
Table 4. Removal of TNT From wastewater by Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molar ratio surfactant/TNT</th>
<th>Initial concentration of TNT (ppm)</th>
<th>Concentration of TNT (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Results of TOC during the treatment of wastewater by Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molar ratio surfactant/TNT</th>
<th>Initial value of TOC</th>
<th>TOC (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1 Removal of TNT by dodecyl triethyl ammonium bromide

Fig. 2 Removal of TNT by Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide
Fig. 3 Effect of pH on the removal of TNT by Hexadecyl trimethyl ammoniumbromide